

# Negation and (lack of) *DO*-support in a case of pseudo-archaic English

Sandra RONAI, University of Bucharest

This paper is an attempt to describe and account for a case of *register variation* in English. The *data* comes from a book of fantasy literature, *The Silmarillion* (by J.R.R. Tolkien), whose language I will be describing as an *idiolect*. In the text, we can find examples like ‘he knew not’ or ‘they found him not’, but also occurrences of ‘did not know’ and ‘did not find him’. This lack of *DO*-support indicates that the *raising* of the lexical verb is still possible in this *sub-variety* of English. But how can this be, since we know that movement is never optional?

Inspired by other studies on the topic of ‘core’ vs. ‘peripheral’ grammars, I will try to illustrate this *parametric variation* that exists language-internally. By providing a quantitative and qualitative description of negation with and without *DO*-support in Tolkien’s English, I would like to sketch a representation of this particular *peripheral grammar* and explain the extent of its deviation from the standard.

The presentation will begin by a broad *empirical description* of what I would like to call ‘pseudo-archaic English’, reviewing the most poignant characteristics of the register, from vocabulary to morphology and of course syntax. Then, I will show the detailed results of my *statistical research* of the empirical facts, drawing, I hope, a comprehensive picture of *negation* in the selected corpus. After that, I will proceed to the actual comparison of the two structures, the *DO*-support and the Raising one, providing the *syntactic representation* of each of them (in the theoretical framework of *generative grammar*) and paying particular attention to the environments in which each of them occurs (in order to see if there is any pattern). Finally, I will also adopt a hypothesis on *language change* that might explain the simultaneous availability of two competing constructions.

**Keywords:** peripheral grammar, language change, negation, *DO*-support, language-internal parametric variation.

## Selected references:

- Butters, R.R. 2001. Chance as Cause of Language Variation and Change. In *Journal of English Linguistics* (29), 201 – 213.
- Hudson, R. 1997. The Rise of Auxiliary *DO*: Verb-non-Raising of Category-Strengthening?. In *Transactions of the Philological Society* (95:1), 41 – 72.
- Moscatti, V. 2006. *The Scope of Negation*. PhD dissertation, Centro Interdisciplinare di Studi Cognitivi sul Linguaggio.
- van Gelderen, E. 2000. The absence of verb-movement and the role of *C*: Some negative constructions in Shakespeare. In *Studia Linguistica* (54:3), 412 – 423
- Zeijlstra, H.H. 2004. *Sentential Negation and Negative Concord*. PhD dissertation, Universiteit van Amsterdam.