Free Word Order and Hungarian Dative Constructions Adam Szalontai

ELTE Theoretical Linguistics PhD Program / HAS Research Institute for Linguistics

Results: Introduction: DOantecedent IOantecende DOanaphor IOanaphor This poster presents the findings of a study of free word order phenomena in DOanphor IOantecedent DOantecedent IOanaphor Hungarian. Free word order (FWO) ties in with the debate on (non-6,00 7,00 bemutat 2,85 1,71 'introduce')configurationality which claims that certain languages (in certain domains) 2,71 alárendel 1,42 3,85 5,85 lack a hierarchical structure of the sort which has been proposed for strict 'subordinate' word order languages. However there have been attempts to analyse these 2,89 2,00 4,86 6,85 elárul 'betray' configurationaly (Jelinek(1984), Baker (1988)). Átad 2,42 1,57 4,57 4,00 If configurationality i.e. hierarchy is assumed there are two main possibilities 'hand over' for achieving explanatory coverage of the FWO phenomena. One is to 2,711,67 4,82 5,85 avrage 4,71 S.el O.an 1.00 O.el S.an control: felhív 2,85 O.an S.el 1,00 S.an O.el assume that certain constituents can be base generated with out any 'call' constraint regarding their hierarchical position with regard to each other, and the other is to assume that there is some set base order, which can be freely changed through the mechanism of scrambling (Saito, 1985, 1992). This Scope taking of non-increasing QPs: second option is problematic with respect to grammars which strive to Szabolcsi (1997): non-increasing QPs (kevés 'few') do not take inverse scope constrain operations along the lines of economy, such as the Minimalist higher than their A-position Program, in a way that operations cannot occur unless there is some specific (7) Tegnap hívott fel minden ügyintéző kevés

semantic/syntactic/prosodic need that drives them.

Hungarian:

FWO in the post-verbal domain; strict, information structure determined hierarchy in the pre-verbal domain.

Az iskolában látta meg Mari (1) Janit saw PRT Mary the school.in John.acc

(2)Az iskolában látta meg Janit Mari. saw PRT John.acc the school.in Mary. 'It was in the school that Mary saw John.'

The observable phenomena in the case of S and O exhibit both symmetries (for example in word order and Condition C violations) and asymmetries (Condition A violations).

The most worked out theory: É. Kiss (1987, 1994, 2002), proposes a completely flat, non-configurational analysis. This is further developed by É. Kiss (2008) into a hybrid theory where the structure looses the hierarchy within the VP after a certain point in the derivation.

Surányi (2006): proposes a completely configurational analysis with scrambling achieving free word order, symmetry.

As far as FWO is concerned the same observation holds of internal arguments.

ügyfelet. SUB> OBJ, *OBJ > SUB

*'It was yesterday that few customers were called by all the admin.' 'It was yesterday that few administrators called all of the customers.'

The prediction is that if there is a hierarchical order between twoconstituents then there would be an observable pattern in the availability of scope interpretations.

Results: DO minendki IO minenki IO kevés DO kevés DO mindenki DO kevés IO minenki IO kevés no am biguity ambiguity no am biguity ambiguity ad 'give' alárendel no ambiguity ambiguity a m b ig u it y ambiguity 'subordinate' elárul ambiguity no ambiguity a m b ig u it y ambiguity 'betray' no ambiguity ambiguity no(slight)slight bemutat ambiguity 'introduce' a m b ig u it y

Condition C:

An r-expression must not be bound within a local domain

This formulation allows us to make a prediction as to the grammaticality of the possible patterns presented above. If there is a hierarchy, then we predict that the base order in which the r-expression is free will be grammatical, with varying degrees of grammaticality in the case of the other possibilities.

Bemutattam (8)Őti János, apósának. him John father-in-law.poss.acc intoduce.past.1sg

(3)	Az	iskolában	Mari	adta oda	а	könyvet	Janinak.
	the	school.in	Mary	gave to	the	book.acc	John.dat

(4)	Az	iskolában	Mari	adta	oda	Janinak	a könyvet.
	the	school.in	Mary	gave	to	John.dat	the book.acc

As the theories presented above deal manly with the subject object (a)symmetries, the configurationality of internal arguments is still an open question.

The research presented by this poster aims to expand in this domain, primarily by using some of the same tools as Surányi (2006).

Methodology:

8 subjects; 4 verbs (the possibility of having different verbal classes anticipated based on Haider and Rosengren (2003). The test was administered via the internet in the form of a questionnaire where subject had to either give grammaticality judgments on a 7 point scale or select the potential meaning(s) of a given sentence (QP scope).

Tests:

With two constituents, and the assumption of a hierarchical structure, allowing scrambling there are four word order possibilities.



Results:	DO pronoun IO r-expression	IO r-expression DO pronoun	DOr-expression IO pronoun	IO pronoun DOr-expression
bemutat 'intoduce'	3,14	2,28	3,00	2,57
alárendel 'subordinate'	2,42	2,42	3,00	2,57
elárul 'betray'	3,42	2,42	3,00	3,00
átad 'hand over'	2,28	2,83	2,71	3,00
avrage control: felhív 'call'	2,82 1,85 SUp OBr	2,48 2,45 OBr SUp	2,92 2,85 SUr OBp	2,78 2,28 OBp SUr

Analysis:

The results were not as clear-cut as in the case of Surányi (2006), this indicates that there might be some difference between internal-internal and externalinternal argument hierarchies.

Scrambling analysis can make fairly accurate predictions, as shown by certain verbs of the Condition A test. Based on that data the base hierarchy of Hungarian internal arguments would be DO>IO.

The QP scope test also indicates the presence of some sort of hierarchy. But not as clearly as the Condition A test. What is interesting to see in the results is that the pattern of (non-)ambiguity seems to be different depending on the verb. Also, ambiguity was expected in only one of the possible patterns, yet in half of the cases it appears in two. These cases suggest that different verbs may take different internal argument word orders. This may also be supported by the differences in judgments in the Condition A test. The Condition C test failed to indicate any hierarchy, how ever this may be due to independent reasons, such as the general tendency not to favor pronouns in sentence final positions.

Condition A:

An anaphor must have a local antecedent which c-commands it. Based on this formulation we can make the prediction that if there are two constituents, one containing the anaphor, and the other the antecedent, the grammatical base order would be the one in which the antecedent ccommands the anaphor.

5)	Bemutattam	egymást	nekik.
	intoduced.past.1sg	eachother.acc	them.dat

Further research:

Needs to account for exactly why the Condition C test failed. Needs to better control for the argument hood in case of certain verbs. Needs to investigate why scrambling, which is associated with information structural motivations would happen in the post-verbal domain.

References: Baker, Mark. 1988. Incorporation: a theory of grammatical function changing. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. É. Kiss Katalin 1987. Configurationality in Hungarian. Budapest: Académia Kiadó. É. Kiss, Katalin 1994. Scrambling as the base-generation of random complement order. In: Norbert Corver – Henk van Riemsdijk eds.: Studies on scrambling. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. 221–256 É. Kiss, Katalin 2002. The syntax of Hungarian. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. É. Kiss, Katalin 2008. Free word order (non)configurationality and Phases. Linguistic Inquiry Vol. 39. No 3, 441–475 Haider, Hubert and Inger Rosengren 2003. Scrambling: Nontriggered Chain Formation in OV Languages. Journal of Germanic Linguistics. Vol. 15 No 3. 203 267. Jelinek, Eloise. 1984. Empty categories, case, and configurationality. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 2: 39-76. Saito, Mamoru 1985. Some asymmetries in Japanese and their theoretical implications. Doctoral dissertation, MIT.Saito, Mamoru 1992. Long distance scrambling in Japanese. In: Journal of East Asian Linguistics 1: 69–118. Surányi Balázs 2006. Scrambling in Hungarian. Acta Linguistica Hungariaca Vol 53. No 4. 393-432